UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,925	09/13/2006	Nicholas R. Blandford	148761	7915
52082 7590 09/14/2010 General Electric Company GE Global Patent Operation			EXAMINER	
			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
2 Corporate Drive, Suite 648 Shelton, CT 06484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gpo.mail@ge.com allyson.carnaroli@ge.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/597,925	BLANDFORD ET AL.
Lxammer-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter T. DiMauro</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 5/20	/10.	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to claims 1 and 14 were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in the instant Examples. These amendments included "without acid feed", "R1 is CH3", "polymer (I) prepared via aqueous polymerization", and "maleic acid based scale inhibiting additve. Mr.DiMauro agreed to file a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.